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| **Motion to Dismiss** | Ian Freeman  63 Emerald St. #610  Keene, New Hampshire |

**January 31, 2013**

Court Name: Keene District

Case Name: City of Keene and Gary LaFreniere v. Ian Freeman f/k/a Ian Bernard

Case Number: **449-2013-CR-00206**

Now comes Ian Freeman (formerly known as Ian Bernard) of the Shire Society, called defendant by this court, by special appearance, not submitting to the court’s jurisdiction, who hereby moves the court to to enter an order to do the following:

The court should dismiss this case due to NH Constitution Article 10:

***[Art.] 10. [Right of Revolution.]*** *Government being instituted for the common benefit, protection, and security, of the whole community, and not for the private interest or emolument of any one man, family, or class of men; therefore, whenever the ends of government are perverted, and public liberty manifestly endangered, and all other means of redress are ineffectual, the people may, and of right ought to reform the old, or establish a new government. The doctrine of nonresistance against arbitrary power, and oppression, is absurd, slavish, and destructive of the good and happiness of mankind.*

I am engaged in peaceful revolution against the men and women calling themselves government aka the “United States”, “State of New Hampshire”, and “City of Keene”.

As a believer in property rights, I believe I own my property. As a landlord, I want my tenants to be happy and feel safe living in their home. I will take the steps necessary to achieve those goals and am happy to listen to suggestions from fire experts. However, I do not appreciate being threatened and will not accede to demands I feel are ridiculous, expensive, and unnecessary, especially my tenants agreeing with me.

Fire chief Gary LaFreniere will testify that I took steps to increase fire safety in my tenants’ home. I did this not because of the city code, but because it made sense to have a smoke detector in the basement of the home. However, it does not make sense to have an ac-powered, interconnected smoke detection system installed. I’ve never lived in a home with such a ridiculous system, and no one I know has either.

It is clear I am being targeted for enforcement due to my public activism and advocacy. It is no coincidence that it was after Fred Parsells was hired as a code enforcer that my home was targeted for various “violations”. Prior to Parsells’ hiring in 2011, my home had not been visited by code enforcement since the couch incident in 2008. If there were violations present on my property prior to Parsells’ hiring, why did they not make me aware of the alleged violations? Parsells is abusing his position as code enforcer to target me in a personal vendetta. My tenants were also targeted as another way to attempt to intimidate me.

Parsells and I have broken bread a number of times over the years, prior to his hiring by the code enforcement department. In these conversations, Parsells has made it clear that he believes I will leave Keene. Judging by his obsessive targeting of me and my tenants, it’s clear he intends to encourage me to leave Keene by intimidation, constant threats, and harassment while under the cover of working for “code enforcement”.

**Conclusion and request for relief:**

The people calling themselves government are a group of men and women who are benefiting from coercion. They live off the production of others and use confusing legalese and an obtuse system to take advantage of those others. There are clearly two classes of humans in the place we call New Hampshire. There are the government class, and the rest of us. Liberty is not only endangered, but it is nothing more than an illusion. So long as men and women like Fred Parsells tell other men and women how to live their peaceful lives and what they can and can’t do with their own property, I and others will continue to refuse consent. This is a peaceful revolution, as called for by your own constitution. In my society, the Shire Society, we would call this an evolution.

If this court honors its own constitution, it should dismiss this case with prejudice

In addition to the above, the court should grant such other and further relief as the court may deem reasonable and just under the circumstances.

.

Delivered this \_\_\_\_ day of **January 2013.**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Ian Freeman, All Rights Reserved

Certificate of service

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been delivered this

\_\_\_ day of **January 2013** to the alleged plaintiff.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Ian Freeman, All Rights Reserved

\*\*\*\*NOTICE: All correspondence is subject to being posted on FreeKeene.com\*\*\*\*