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| **Response to Objection to Motion to Suppress** | Ian Freeman63 Emerald St. #610 Keene, New Hampshire |

**March 04, 2013**

Court Name: Keene District

Case Name: State v. Ian Freeman f/k/a Ian Bernard

Case Number: **449-2013-CR-00206**

Now comes Ian Freeman (formerly known as Ian Bernard) of the Shire Society, called defendant by this court, by special appearance, not submitting to the court’s jurisdiction, who hereby responds to the plaintiff’s “OBJECTION TO MOTION TO SUPPRESS ADMINISTRATIVE INSPECTION WARRANT” filed on February 15th. Defendant has been out of town and unable to reply until now:

The court should suppress the administrative inspection warrant for the reasons stated in the original motion to suppress and consider the following response to the plaintiff’s objection:

* **Plaintiff’s objection does not address a single point of defendant’s original motion to suppress.**
* **By your society’s own rules, specifically** RSA 153:14 II-A, the fire chief is prohibited from inspecting single family dwellings.
* 75 Leverett is, according to plaintiff’s “NOTICE OF VIOLATION”, a single dwelling.

**Conclusion and request for relief:**

The court should suppress the administrative warrant and dismiss the case with prejudice. NH RSAs prevent the inspection and the court may not override that prohibition. The warrant was issued in error.

In addition to the above, the court should grant such other and further relief as the court may deem reasonable and just under the circumstances.

Delivered this \_\_\_\_ day of **March 2013.**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Ian Freeman, All Rights Reserved

Certificate of service

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been delivered this

\_\_\_ day of **March 2013** to the alleged plaintiff.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Ian Freeman, All Rights Reserved

\*\*\*\*NOTICE: All correspondence is subject to being posted on FreeKeene.com\*\*\*\*