

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NH
FILED

2020 SEP 22 A 9:27

VS
Plaintiff(s)

Case No. _____

v.
Cartmell
Defendant(s)

MOTION TO/FOR waive mask requirement.
(enter title of motion)

Per ADM2, Order 2025 item 11.

I request a waiver of the mask requirement.

I have a medical condition and in addition

I am a minister and such headwear as a mask or face shield is against my religion.


I am on the defendant's guest list.

Further, court proceedings are open to the public. If I am denied entry, as a member of the media, I would like access to an online feed of the proceedings.

I am a blogger at freekeene.com and a nationally syndicated talk show host on "FreeTalk Live".

(Attach additional sheets if necessary)

Date: 9/22/20


Signature

MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Pursuant to LR 7.1(a)(2), every motion shall be accompanied by a memorandum with citations to supporting authorities or a statement explaining why a memorandum is unnecessary.

- I have attached a supporting memorandum of law to this motion.
- I have NOT attached a memorandum of law because none is required (explain your reasoning below).

I've checked these boxes on the recommendation of Dan Lynch.

CONCURRENCES SOUGHT

Pursuant to LR 7.1(c), any party filing a motion other than a dispositive motion (a dispositive motion seeks an order disposing of one or more claims in favor of the moving party, for example, a motion to dismiss or a motion for summary judgment) shall certify to the court that a good faith attempt has been made to obtain concurrence/agreement in the relief sought. If concurrence is obtained, the moving party shall so note.

I certify the following (choose one):

- All parties have assented/agreed to this motion.
- I made a good faith attempt, but was unable to successfully obtain concurrence/agreement from all parties.
- I have NOT attempted to obtain concurrence/agreement because it is not required.

USA v Cantwell 20-CR-6
Objection and
Motion to reconsider

The clerk informed me of the court's offer of a viewing room but that I'd need to put on a mask in the hallways of the building.

This response ignores my medical condition and religious objection.

As a member of the press, like anyone else, I have a right to observe court proceedings, ~~and~~ I should not be required to endanger my health or violate my religious beliefs to observe the court. If the court will continue to disallow me entry without a mask, it should provide me with a feed over the internet so I can observe the trial and report on it as the supposedly free press. If this motion is denied, please provide a written denial stating why.

Hand-delivered
2020-09-22


Ian Freeman