

We the People of the State of New Hampshire

Public Notice

Termination of office and Termination of the “state”

When, in the course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume, among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the laws of nature and of nature’s God entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of mankind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty, acquiring, possessing and protecting property —and in a word, of seeking and obtaining happiness. That, to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed. That, whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new government, laying its foundation on such principles, and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to affect their safety and happiness.

Prudence, indeed, will dictate that governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and, accordingly, all experience has shown, that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed.

But, when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same object, evinces a design to reduce them under absolute despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such government, and to provide new guards for their future security. Such has been the patient sufferance of the people of New Hampshire; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former systems of government. The history of the present form of government, the [statutory] “state” of New Hampshire is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute tyranny over the people of this State. To prove this, let facts be submitted to a candid world.

The ends of government have become perverted, and public liberty is now manifestly endangered, and all other means of redress are ineffectual. We have petitioned and remonstrated for redress of grievances, in the most humble terms. Our repeated petitions and remonstrances have been answered only by repeated injury. A Governor whose character is thus marked by every act which may define him a tyrant, is unfit to be the executive of a free people of the State of New Hampshire.

1. BECAUSE, we hold it a fundamental truth that the people of New Hampshire are the Sovereign, they are the creator and author of the Constitution of New Hampshire, and that only they, “the people,” may alter, amend, or abolish said Constitution,

**A Declaration of Rights of The People of
The State of New Hampshire
March 4, 1778**

“The whole and entire power of government of this State is vested in, and must be derived from, the people thereof, and from no other source whatsoever.”

2. BECAUSE, ***“The future Legislature of this State shall make no laws to infringe the rights of conscience, or any other of the natural, unalienable rights of men, or contrary to the laws of God.”***

**Opinions of the Supreme Court[s]
Of the State of New Hampshire
And
The Supreme Court of the United States**

3. BECAUSE ***“The legislature may not, even in the exercise of its “absolute” internal rulemaking authority, violate constitutional limitations. Id. at 284, 288.”***
Burt v. Speaker, N.H. House of Representatives, 2020
4. BECAUSE ***“Indeed, “[n]o branch of State government can lawfully perform any act which violates the State Constitution.” LaFrance, 124 N.H. at 176.***
Burt v. Speaker, N.H. House of Representatives, 2020
5. BECAUSE ***“Therefore, “[a]ny legislative act violating the constitution or infringing on its provisions must be void because the legislature, when it steps beyond its bounds, acts without authority.”***
Burt v. Speaker, N.H. House of Representatives, 2020
6. BECAUSE ***“Certainly all those who have framed written Constitutions contemplate them as forming the fundamental and paramount law of the nation, and consequently the theory of every such government must be that an act of the Legislature repugnant to the Constitution is void.”***
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803)

7. BECAUSE ***“This theory is essentially attached to a written Constitution, and is consequently to be considered by this Court as one of the fundamental principles of our society. It is not, therefore, to be lost sight of in the further consideration of this subject.”***
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803)
8. BECAUSE ***“If, then, the Courts are to regard the Constitution, and the Constitution is superior to any ordinary act of the Legislature, the Constitution, and not such ordinary act, must govern the case to which they both apply.”***
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803)
9. BECAUSE ***“Thus, the particular phraseology of the Constitution of the United States confirms and strengthens the principle, supposed to be essential to all written Constitutions, that a law repugnant to the Constitution is void, and that courts, as well as other departments, are bound by that instrument.”***
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803)
10. BECAUSE ***“It is also not entirely unworthy of observation that, in declaring what shall be the supreme law of the land, the Constitution itself is first mentioned, and not the laws of the United States generally, but those only which shall be made in pursuance of the Constitution, have that rank.”***
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137 (1803)

We, the subscribers, Citizens of this State, have taken into serious consideration that the current emergency powers statute, **NH RSA 4:45, RSA 4:46, RSA 4:47 and RSA 4:48, State of Emergency Declaration and Powers**, are repugnant and contrary to the Constitution of New Hampshire. Said statute expanded the powers of the executive branch without the consent of the people and, as such, has been achieved by violating the procedural due process provided for by the Constitution to achieve such an outcome.

The Constitution of New Hampshire “the law of the land” may not be amended by the legislative body (General Court), that power is retained to, and may only be exercised by, the people, the Sovereign, the creator of the laws of the land.

Under the Authority of the Constitution of New Hampshire:

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article I:

“All men are born equally free and independent; therefore, all government of right originates from the people, is founded in consent, and instituted for the general good.”

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article II:

“All men have certain natural, essential, and inherent rights among which are, the enjoying and defending life and liberty; acquiring, possessing, and protecting, property; and, in a word, of seeking and obtaining happiness.”

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article III:

“When men enter into a state of society, they surrender up some of their natural rights to that society, in order to ensure the protection of others; and, without such an equivalent, the surrender is void.”

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article VII:

“The people of this State, have the sole and exclusive right of governing themselves as a free, sovereign and independent State, and do, and forever hereafter shall exercise, and enjoy every power, jurisdiction and right pertaining thereto, which is not, or may not hereafter be by them expressly delegated to the United States of America in Congress assembled.”

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article VIII:

“All power residing originally in, and being derived from the people, all the magistrates and officers of government, are their substitutes and agents, and at all times accountable to them.”

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article XII:

“But no part of a man’s property shall be taken from him, or applied to public uses, without his own consent, or that of the representative body of the people. Nor are the inhabitants of this State controllable by any other laws than those to which they, or their representative body have given their consent.”

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article XIV:

“Every subject of this State is entitled to a certain remedy, by having recourse to the laws, for all injuries he may receive in his person, property, or character; to obtain right and justice freely, without being obliged to purchase it; completely, and without any denial; promptly, and without delay; conformably to the laws.”

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article XV:

“No subject shall be arrested, imprisoned, despoiled, or deprived of his property, immunities, or privileges, put out of the protection of the law, exiled or deprived of his life, liberty, or estate, but by the judgment of his peers, or the law of the land;”

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article XXXIV;

“No person can in any case be subject to law Martial, or to any pains, or penalties, by virtue of that law, except those employed in the army or navy, and except the militia in actual service, but by the authority of the legislature.”

Crimes Against the People

Gov. Sununu, AG Gordan MacDonald, Stephan Shurtleff, and Donna Soucy have conspired to commit an illegal election which is repugnant and contrary to the both Constitutions. Such Bad faith actions from State Actors disenfranchised the voter’s by legislative fiat, thereby diluting their vote by allowing resident aliens who are not qualified vote as the Constitution provides.

They have amended the voter qualification requirement of the Constitution of New Hampshire by legislative fiat, in direct violation of the consent of the voters. The NH Bill of Rights, the rights of the people, establishes three elements to vote that are as follows:

1. You must be a *Citizen* of the State of New Hampshire.
2. You must be qualified to vote; such persons are described specifically as “*inhabitants*.”
3. You must have a Domicile (dwelleth and hath his home).

The Constitution of NH, Bill of Rights, Article 11: The provision for absentee voting only allows for two exemptions:

1. Those who are absent from the city or town of which they are inhabitants.
2. Or who by reason of physical disability are unable to vote in person.

Gov. Sununu and AG MacDonald have conspired to commit voter fraud for more than two years by concealing their knowledge of unlawful changes to New Hampshire voting laws. On July 3, 2018, a law suit was filed against Christopher Sununu in his private capacity over unconstitutional voting laws. He refused to answer the complaint and subsequently defaulted. Seven days after defaulting on the case, Sununu used tax payer resources, the Attorney General office as his own legal counsel and caused the civil suit against him to go away. The suit made the case that there is no provision in the Constitution of NH granting the right to vote to US citizens who are resident aliens of the statutory “state.” Additionally, it made the case that the legislature cannot grant the right to vote by legislative fiat by allowing US citizens who are residents aliens the right to vote—that which the Constitution does not provide.

Meanwhile, both Speaker Stephan Shurtleff and President of the Senate Donna Soucy were put on notice when a Remonstrance was filed on May 20, 2019, which provided the same evidence as detailed in the law suit against Sununu. They both violated the Constitutional Rights of the people by depriving the legislative body of the knowledge that the voting laws were unconstitutional and were disenfranchising the voters of this State by diluting their vote. And by such acts they deprived a Citizens of this State due process of law and the right of redress of grievances which intern deprives all the people of this State of a lawful government.

On February 25, 2020, a felony complaint, was filed as a sworn affidavit—against Shurtleff and Soucy—with AG Gordon MacDonald and with the Legislative Ethics Committee for deprivation of Citizen rights under color of law.

AG MacDonald refused to investigate or act, two weeks later all the named parties violated the Constitution. Gov. Sununu shut down the State, Shurtleff and Soucy suspended the legislature, they shut down the investigation into the felony criminal complaint before the Ethics Committee even though the Committee already possessed the ability to meet remotely, and for 4.5 months they prevented the Committee for assembling until after they passed HB 1266. Only after their agenda was over, did the ethics committee convene in secret to dismiss the complaint on August 5, 2020.

The Citizens of this State have been, and are now being deprived of their Constitutional right to oversee the function of their government in the people’s House. The wrongdoers met in an unconstitutional location and enacted HB 1266. The Governor signed it into law on July 17, 2020. This was done while the AG, Shurtleff and Soucy, knowing that there was a felony complaint of voter fraud before the Ethics Committee, and concealing such from the legislative body and the public until the legislative session was over, which enabled them to commit more voter fraud by enacting HB 1266 which expanded the absentee voter provision by legislative fiat.

Speaker Stephan Shurtleff and President of the Senate Donna Soucy, have called together the legislative bodies at places unusual, uncomfortable, and distant from the depository of their public records, for the sole purpose of depriving the people the ability to oversee the function of the legislative body as provided for by the Constitution of New Hampshire, Part I, Bill of Rights Article VIII and Article XXXVIII;

“A frequent recurrence to the fundamental principles of the constitution, and a constant adherence to justice, moderation, temperance, industry, frugality, and all the social virtues, are indispensably necessary to preserve the blessings of liberty and good government; the people ought, therefore, to have a particular regard to all those principles in the choice of their officers and representatives, and they have a right to require of their lawgivers and magistrates, an exact and constant observance of them, in the formation and execution of the laws necessary for the good administration of government.”

The aforesaid persons have endeavored to disenfranchise the inhabitants of this State, by allowing resident aliens who are not naturalized to the State to vote in New Hampshire elections.

The Governor has obstructed the administration of justice, by refusing his assent to the laws of the land by restoring the Constitutional legislative oversight of the judiciary branch.

The Governor continues to make judges dependent on his will alone, for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.

The Governor continues to operate a multitude of offices not provided for in the Constitution, and has sent hither swarms of officers to harass our people, and eat out their substance.

He has kept among us, in times of peace, standing armies of enforcement agents, without the consent of the people or any authority derived from the Constitution.

He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his assent to their acts of pretended legislation.

The Governor is now depriving the people this State of the benefits of trial by jury;

The Governor has abolished the Constitutional rights of the Citizens of this State, and our most valuable laws, and has altered the fundamentally form of our government;

Our form of government, has become a complete system of tyranny. The Same party is the legislator, the accuser, the judge, and the executioner by declaring themselves invested with power to legislate in secret in all cases whatsoever.

They have abolished all effective means of Redress of grievances, thereby denying the people of this State, their Rights and the Republican Form of government secured by our Constitutions, to ensure the protection of others in their pursuit of Life, Liberty; and acquiring, possessing, and protecting, property; and, in a word, seeking and obtaining happiness.

Sununu, Shurtleff, Soucy and MacDonald have violated their oath of office and they have failed in their duty to faithful execute the laws of this State according to the best of their abilities, agreeably to the laws of the land, the New Hampshire Constitution.

Under state law NH RSA 92:2;

“any such person who violates said oath after taking the same shall be forthwith dismissed from the office or position involved.”

Bill of Rights, Part I, Article X;

“Government being instituted for the common benefit, protection, and security of the whole community, and not for the private interest or emolument of any one man, family or class of men; therefore, whenever the ends of government are perverted, and public liberty manifestly endangered, and all other means of redress are ineffectual, the people may, and of right ought, to reform the old, or establish a new government. The doctrine of non-resistance against arbitrary power, and oppression, is absurd; slavish, and destructive of the good and happiness of mankind.”

We the people, the good Citizens of the State of New Hampshire declare the Nov. 3 2020 election void for fraud and of no effect leaving no Constitutionally elected body, and further we declare that the statutory “state” and all of its affects are void for fraud.

We, therefore, the Citizens of the State of New Hampshire, Assembled at the State House, Appealing to the Supreme Judge of the world for the rectitude of our intentions, do, in the Name, and by the Authority of The Constitution of New Hampshire, Part I, Bill of Rights, Article X, solemnly publish and declare, that the State of New Hampshire is, and Right ought to be a Free and Independent State as defined by Part I, Bill of Rights, Article VII; that we are Absolved from all allegiance to the statutory “state” of New Hampshire and all political connection between the Citizens of the State of New Hampshire and the “state” is and ought to be totally dissolved; and to do all other Acts and Things which Independent States may of right do. And for the Support of the Declaration, with firm reliance on the protection of divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our Lives, our Fortunes and our sacred Honor.